

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE  10/517,711 12/10/2004		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		Stefan Bogl	CBZ-1266	4636	
22827 75	11/16/2005		EXAMINER		
DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L		
POST OFFICE GREENVILLE	BOX 1449 , SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0.000.0000	, 50 2,002,		3723		

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/517,711	BOGL, STEFAN			
			Examiner	Art Unit	· ·		
		:	Shantese L. McDonald	3723	•		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRY IN THE MINIST	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136( munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION  a). In no event, however, may a reply be to apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	ON.  timely filed  m the mailing date of this commun  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 10 Dec	ember 2004.		*		
2a)□	•		ction is non-final.		•		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•—	closed in accordance with the pract		•				
<b>5</b>			-				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn	from consideration.				
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) <u>21,26,27,29-34,37 and 40</u>	-			: 1		
7)	·						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> accep	ted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exar	miner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[X]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign n	riority under 35 H.S.C. & 1196	a)-(d) or (f)	- N. J.		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents h	nave been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority			ition No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies		• •		ie .		
	application from the Internation			·			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	the certified copies not receive	/ed.			
					,		
Attachmen	t(s)				.• 4		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>			Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		
	rr No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/12/05</u> .	i r: 10/30/00)	6) Other:	. 3.5/17 (P)10000/1 (F 10-102)	٠.		

Application/Control Number: 10/517,711

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21,25-27,29-32 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 19753705.

DE 19753705 teaches a method for producing a precise prefabricated concrete part in the form of a plate, 4, for a fixed track for a rail guided vehicle, comprising using a grooved roller, 30, or a plurality of grooved rollers, to grind connection surface to an actual dimension of the connecting surface, for add on elements, 5, of the fixed track into the prefabricated concrete part at relevant points, 1, along the concrete part, the grooved roller defining the connection surface to desired predetermined dimensions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33, 34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 19753705 in view of Feisel.

Art Unit: 3723

DE 19753705 teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the grooved roller being formed in part of silicon carbide and having a diameter of between about 400 and 700 mm, and the grooved roller being disposed on a steel shaft. Feisel teaches a grooved roller made of silicon carbide, (col. 2, lines 55-58). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to provide the method of DE 19753705 with a silicon carbide roller, as taught by Feisel, in order to enhance the rollers grinding capabilities, it would have been further obvious to provide the roller on a steel shaft, and with a diameter of between 400 and 700, in order to enhance the grinding capabilities, and since it is a know fact to fabricate abrasive roller or wheels on a steel shaft or spindle, and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim is disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-24,28,35,36,38 and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ramer was cited to show another example of a method of making a concrete rail part.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.L.M. November 14, 2005

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700